## Case Number ERT/2014/078

## **EDISON FORDE v. PRECONCO LIMITED**

Panel: Christopher Blackman,	Chairman
Edward Bushell.	Member
<b>Beverley Beckles</b>	Member

Miss. K Benjamin, Attorney-at-law for the Claimant with Mr. Dwaine Paul

Mr. Ryan Mosely Attorney-at-law for the Respondent with Ms. Olivia Watson,

## October 5 and 19, 2020, May 6, 2021

- Edison Forde (the Claimant) was hired by Preconco Limited (the Respondent) as a Precaster on February 3, 2003. He was terminated on February 17, 2014.
- 2. The termination of the Claimant was for non-attendance at work on Saturdays.
- 3. The Respondent in the NIS Termination Certificate gave as the reason for termination, non-compliance with the Company's Operating Procedures.
- 4. The Respondent did not have a hearing for the Claimant to explain his position, as required by Part B of the Fourth Schedule of the Employment Rights Act (the Act). The parties are directed to the decision of the Tribunal dated February 18, 2020 in *Theresa Foster v. Sinclair Gittens* and the CCJ's decision in *Cheffete v. Harris* delivered on May 7, 2020, where at para 76, Justice Anderson said: "For the sake of guiding the future conduct of employers and employees, lawyers and tribunals, that section 29 (5) must be taken literally: an employer is not entitled to dismiss an employee for misconduct without the prescribed due process. A dismissal done in non-compliance with the disciplinary procedures designed to ensure due process is unfair."

- 5. The provisions of the Act as to discipline and termination supersede any provisions that may appear in the Handbook or Manual of Company's Operating Procedures.
- 6. As a consequence of the failure to comply with the provisions of the Act, the Claimants' dismissal was unfair.
- 7. Section 22 (1) (d) of the Act provides that the Claimant is due 6 weeks' notice, when terminated without notice. The average weekly pay of the Claimant was \$486.76. The amount therefore due is \$2920.76.
- The Claimant having been employed for 11 years, is per paragraph 2 (2) (C) of the Fifth Schedule to the Act further entitled to a basic award of \$16,063.08, being \$486.76x3x11.
- **9.** The Respondent is ordered to pay the Claimant the sum of \$18,983.64 within 30 days of this decision.

Dated this 6<sup>h</sup> day of May 2021.

Christopher Blackman	Chairman
Edward Bushell.	Member
<b>Beverley Beckles</b>	Member